



			Line Le	ength of	Lines I	Resolved	
		Fi	rst Eleme	ent of Grou	ip Per Mi	llimeter	
		Group		m	X = 2	yaroup	
		-210	0000		0.25		
		-1 3	5000		1		
		1 1	.2500		2		
		2 0	.62500		4		
		3 0	.31250		8		
		4 0	.15625		16		
		5 0	.078125		32		
	Ta	ible 3. US	4F 1951	Test Targ	et Group	Summar	у.
A general formula for the	e length or width of	any targe	t elemen	t line can	be expres	ssed as th	e following:
					2.5 mm	11/6	
		Lin	e Length	[mm] = 2	vodi⊥ (memeut-	2,70	
	Cinco Line 1471 1	h [margin]	line I	-th [] /		lowin	mussion holds
	Since, Line Widt	.u[mm] = I	Line Leng	gen[mm] /	o, the fol	lowing ex	pression noids:
					1	<u> </u>	
		Line	Width [n	$nm] = 2^{an}$	np+1+(Elenen	r-1)/0	
					<u> </u>		
able 4 shows the length	h in millimeters of t	arget elen	ient lines	s for the va	arious gro	oups:	
				Elen	ient		
				-		5	6
	Group	1	2	- 3	4	J	0
	Group -2	1 10.00000	2 8.90899	3 7.93701	4 7.07107	6.29961	5.61231
	Group -2 -1	1 10.00000 5.00000	2 8.90899 4.45449	3 7.93701 3.96850	4 7.07107 3.53553	6.29961 3.14980	5.61231 2.80616
	Group -2 -1 0	1 10.00000 5.00000 2.50000	2 8.90899 4.45449 2.22725	3 7.93701 3.96850 1.98425	4 7.07107 3.53553 1.76777	6.29961 3.14980 1.57490	5.61231 2.80616 1.40308
	Group -2 -1 0 1	1 10.00000 5.00000 2.50000 1.25000	2 8.90899 4.45449 2.22725 1.11362	3 7.93701 3.96850 1.98425 0.99213	4 7.07107 3.53553 1.76777 0.88388	6.29961 3.14980 1.57490 0.78745	5.61231 2.80616 1.40308 0.70154
	Group -2 -1 0 1 2	1 10.00000 5.00000 2.50000 1.25000 0.62500	2 8.90899 4.45449 2.22725 1.11362 0.55681	3 7.93701 3.96850 1.98425 0.99213 0.49606	4 7.07107 3.53553 1.76777 0.88388 0.44194	6.29961 3.14980 1.57490 0.78745 0.39373	5.61231 2.80616 1.40308 0.70154 0.35077
	Group -2 -1 0 1 2 3	1 10.00000 5.00000 2.50000 1.25000 0.62500 0.31250	2 8.90899 4.45449 2.22725 1.11362 0.55681 0.27841	3 7.93701 3.96850 1.98425 0.99213 0.49606 0.24803	4 7.07107 3.53553 1.76777 0.88388 0.44194 0.22097	6.29961 3.14980 1.57490 0.78745 0.39373 0.19686	5.61231 2.80616 1.40308 0.70154 0.35077 0.17538
	Group -2 -1 0 1 2 3 4	1 10.00000 5.00000 2.50000 1.25000 0.62500 0.31250 0.15625	2 8.90899 4.45449 2.22725 1.11362 0.55681 0.27841 0.13920	3 7.93701 3.96850 1.98425 0.99213 0.49606 0.24803 0.12402	4 7.07107 3.53553 1.76777 0.88388 0.44194 0.22097 0.11049	6.29961 3.14980 1.57490 0.78745 0.39373 0.19686 0.09843	5.61231 2.80616 1.40308 0.70154 0.35077 0.17538 0.08769
	Group -2 -1 0 1 2 3 4 Table 4 Line L	1 10.00000 5.00000 2.50000 1.25000 0.62500 0.31250 0.15625	2 8.90899 4.45449 2.22725 1.11362 0.55681 0.27841 0.13920	3 7.93701 3.96850 1.98425 0.99213 0.49606 0.24803 0.12402	4 7.07107 3.53553 1.76777 0.88388 0.44194 0.22097 0.11049	6.29961 3.14980 1.57490 0.78745 0.39373 0.19686 0.09843	5.61231 2.80616 1.40308 0.70154 0.35077 0.17538 0.08769
	Group -2 -1 0 1 2 3 4 Table 4. Line L	1 10.00000 5.00000 2.50000 1.25000 0.62500 0.31250 0.15625 ength[mi	2 8.90899 4.45449 2.22725 1.11362 0.55681 0.27841 0.13920 mJ as Fur	3 7.93701 3.96850 1.98425 0.99213 0.49606 0.24803 0.12402 nction of o	4 7.07107 3.53553 1.76777 0.88388 0.44194 0.22097 0.11049 Group In	6.29961 3.14980 1.57490 0.78745 0.39373 0.19686 0.09843 dex and H	5.61231 2.80616 1.40308 0.70154 0.35077 0.17538 0.08769 Element Index.
.et's reconsider Selwyn'	Group -2 -1 0 1 2 3 4 Table 4. Line L 's "rule of thumb" th	1 10.00000 5.00000 2.50000 1.25000 0.62500 0.31250 0.15625 ength[mm nat says th	2 8.90899 4.45449 2.22725 1.11362 0.55681 0.27841 0.13920 n] as Fur ne numer	3 7.93701 3.96850 1.98425 0.99213 0.49606 0.24803 0.12402 nction of the second	4 7.07107 3.53553 1.76777 0.88388 0.44194 0.22097 0.11049 Group In of the ma	6.29961 3.14980 1.57490 0.78745 0.39373 0.19686 0.09843 dex and H agnificatio	5.61231 2.80616 1.40308 0.70154 0.35077 0.17538 0.08769 Element Index. on should approximately equal the nu
Let's reconsider Selwyn' of lines resolved per mill	Group -2 -1 0 1 2 3 4 Table 4. Line L 's "rule of thumb" th limeter. From above	1 10.00000 5.00000 2.50000 1.25000 0.62500 0.31250 0.15625 ength[mm hat says the e, the lines	2 8.90899 4.45449 2.22725 1.11362 0.55681 0.27841 0.13920 nJ as Fun te numer s resolved	3 7.93701 3.96850 1.98425 0.99213 0.49606 0.24803 0.12402 nction of the second	4 7.07107 3.53553 1.76777 0.88388 0.44194 0.22097 0.11049 Group In of the ma meter is	6.29961 3.14980 1.57490 0.78745 0.39373 0.19686 0.09843 dex and H agnificatio "x," which	5.61231 2.80616 1.40308 0.70154 0.35077 0.17538 0.08769 Element Index. on should approximately equal the number of the expressed:
Let's reconsider Selwyn' of lines resolved per mill	Group -2 -1 0 1 2 3 4 Table 4. Line L 's "rule of thumb" th limeter. From above	1 10.00000 5.00000 2.50000 1.25000 0.62500 0.31250 0.15625 ength[mines	2 8.90899 4.45449 2.22725 1.11362 0.55681 0.27841 0.13920 n] as Fu resolved x = 2	3 7.93701 3.96850 1.98425 0.99213 0.49606 0.24803 0.12402 nction of a ical value d per milli	4 7.07107 3.53553 1.76777 0.88388 0.44194 0.22097 0.11049 Group In of the ma meter is	6.29961 3.14980 1.57490 0.78745 0.39373 0.19686 0.09843 dex and H agnificatio "x," which	5.61231 2.80616 1.40308 0.70154 0.35077 0.17538 0.08769 Element Index. on should approximately equal the number of the expressed:
Let's reconsider Selwyn' of lines resolved per mill	Group -2 -1 0 1 2 3 4 Table 4. Line L 's "rule of thumb" th limeter. From above	1 10.00000 5.00000 2.50000 1.25000 0.62500 0.31250 0.15625 ength[mm hat says the b, the lines	2 8.90899 4.45449 2.22725 1.11362 0.55681 0.27841 0.13920 n] as Fur te numer s resolved x = 2	3 7.93701 3.96850 1.98425 0.99213 0.49606 0.24803 0.12402 nction of 0 ical value t per milli 2 ^{Group+(Element}	4 7.07107 3.53553 1.76777 0.88388 0.44194 0.22097 0.11049 Group In of the ma meter is -1)/6	6.29961 3.14980 1.57490 0.78745 0.39373 0.19686 0.09843 dex and H agnificatio "x," which	5.61231 2.80616 1.40308 0.70154 0.35077 0.17538 0.08769 Element Index. on should approximately equal the number of the expressed:
Let's reconsider Selwyn' of lines resolved per mill and	Group -2 -1 0 1 2 3 4 Table 4. Line L 's "rule of thumb" th limeter. From above	1 10.00000 5.00000 2.50000 0.62500 0.31250 0.15625 ength[mr hat says the e, the lines	2 8.90899 4.45449 2.22725 1.11362 0.55681 0.27841 0.13920 mJ as Fur resolved x = 2	3 7.93701 3.96850 1.98425 0.99213 0.49606 0.24803 0.12402 nction of of ical value d per milli	4 7.07107 3.53553 1.76777 0.88388 0.44194 0.22097 0.11049 Group In of the ma meter is -1)/6	6.29961 3.14980 1.57490 0.78745 0.39373 0.19686 0.09843 dex and H agnificatio "x," which	5.61231 2.80616 1.40308 0.70154 0.35077 0.17538 0.08769 Element Index. on should approximately equal the number of the second se
Let's reconsider Selwyn' of lines resolved per mill	Group -2 -1 0 1 2 3 4 <i>Table 4. Line L</i> 's "rule of thumb" th limeter. From above	1 10.00000 5.00000 2.50000 0.62500 0.31250 0.15625 ength[mi e, the lines	2 8.90899 4.45449 2.22725 1.11362 0.55681 0.27841 0.13920 nJ as Fur resolved x = 2 Mag	3 7.93701 3.96850 1.98425 0.99213 0.49606 0.24803 0.12402 nction of 0 ical value 1 per milli 2 ^{Group+(Element}	4 7.07107 3.53553 1.76777 0.88388 0.44194 0.22097 0.11049 Group In of the ma meter is -1)/6	6.29961 3.14980 1.57490 0.78745 0.39373 0.19686 0.09843 dex and H agnificatio "x," which	5.61231 2.80616 1.40308 0.70154 0.35077 0.17538 0.08769 Element Index. on should approximately equal the number of the second s
Let's reconsider Selwyn' of lines resolved per mill	Group -2 -1 0 1 2 3 4 Table 4. Line L 's "rule of thumb" th limeter. From above	1 10.00000 5.00000 2.50000 0.62500 0.31250 0.15625 ength[mi hat says the by the lines	2 8.90899 4.45449 2.22725 1.11362 0.55681 0.27841 0.13920 mJ as Fun the numer to resolve of x = 2 Mag	3 7.93701 3.96850 1.98425 0.99213 0.49606 0.24803 0.12402 nction of 0 ical value l per milli 2 ^{Group+(Element}	4 7.07107 3.53553 1.76777 0.88388 0.44194 0.22097 0.11049 Group In of the ma meter is -1)/6	6.29961 3.14980 1.57490 0.78745 0.39373 0.19686 0.09843 dex and H agnificatio	5.61231 2.80616 1.40308 0.70154 0.35077 0.17538 0.08769 Element Index. on should approximately equal the number of the second se
Let's reconsider Selwyn' of lines resolved per mill and From this information, w group element:	Group -2 -1 0 1 2 3 4 Table 4. Line L 's "rule of thumb" th limeter. From above	1 10.00000 5.00000 2.50000 0.62500 0.31250 0.15625 ength[mr hat says the ble 5 that	2 8.90899 4.45449 2.22725 1.11362 0.55681 0.27841 0.13920 mJ as Fun the numer to resolve of x = 2 Mag shows th	3 7.93701 3.96850 1.98425 0.99213 0.49606 0.24803 0.12402 nction of 0 ical value l per milli 2 ^{Group+(Element} mification te approxi	4 7.07107 3.53553 1.76777 0.88388 0.44194 0.22097 0.11049 Group In of the ma meter is -1)/6	6.29961 3.14980 1.57490 0.78745 0.39373 0.19686 0.09843 dex and H agnification "x," which	5.61231 2.80616 1.40308 0.70154 0.35077 0.17538 0.08769 Element Index. on should approximately equal the number of the expressed: n needed to resolve a particular test tag
Let's reconsider Selwyn' of lines resolved per mill and From this information, w group element:	Group -2 -1 0 1 2 3 4 <i>Table 4. Line L</i> 's "rule of thumb" th limeter. From above	1 10.00000 5.00000 2.50000 0.62500 0.31250 0.15625 ength[mi hat says the ble 5 that	2 8.90899 4.45449 2.22725 1.11362 0.55681 0.27841 0.13920 mJ as Fun the numer the numer the resolved x = 2 Mag shows the	3 7.93701 3.96850 1.98425 0.99213 0.49606 0.24803 0.12402 action of a ical value a per milli 2 ^{Group+(Element}) mification approxi	4 7.07107 3.53553 1.76777 0.88388 0.44194 0.22097 0.11049 Group In of the ma meter is -1)/6	6.29961 3.14980 1.57490 0.78745 0.39373 0.19686 0.09843 dex and H agnification	5.61231 2.80616 1.40308 0.70154 0.35077 0.17538 0.08769 Element Index. on should approximately equal the number of the second se



5	1 0	1 70100	6 72/77	0.29062	0	6	
	1.0	1.70100	0.75477	0.20002	0	0	
6	2	2.00000	6.00000	0.25000	1	1	
	0.0	0.04400	E 04500	0.00070			
	2.2	2.24492	5.34539	0.22272	1	2	
8	2.5	2.51984	4.76220	0.19843	1	3	
9	2.8	2 82843	4 24264	0 17678	1	4	
	2.0	2.02010	1.21201	0.11010	· ·		
10	3.2	3.17480	3.77976	0.15749	1	5	
11	3.6	3,56359	3.36739	0.14031	1	6	
12	4	4.00000	3.00000	0.12500	2	1	
13	4.5	4 48985	2 67270	0 11136	2	2	
			2.07270	0.11100	-		
14	5	5.03968	2.38110	0.09921	2	3	
15	5.6	5.65685	2.12132	0.08839	2	4	
16	6.3	6.34960	1.88988	0.07875	2	5	
17	7.1	7 12719	1,68369	0.07015	2	6	
18	8	8.00000	1.50000	0.06250	3	1	
19	9	8.97970	1.33635	0.05568	3	2	
20	10	10.07937	1.19055	0.04961	3	3	
21	11	11.31371	1.06066	0.04419	3	4	
22	12.5	12.69921	0.94494	0.03937	3	5	
23	14	14.25438	0.84185	0.03508	3	6	
24	16	16.00000	0.75000	0.03125	4	1	
25	18	17.95939	0.66817	0.02784	4	2	
		2^(Index/6)	12/Calc	Length/24			
<u>╷╷╷╷╷╷╷╷╷╷</u>			Cycles				

Table 6. Microcopy Element Bar Lengths and Equivalent USAF 1951 Element.

In the above table, "Label" is the value printed on the test target element. The calculated cycles/mm is mathematically defined as $2^{index/6}$. The length and width are calculated as discussed above. The line length of the Microcopy element is 12/2.5 = 4.8 times the length of the corresponding USAF element.

Pixel Profiles

Let's use the program from Pixel Profile Lab Report to verify whether USAF 1951 test elements in an image from a PaperPort 5300 scanner can be resolved.







Obviously, the lines in test element 2-6 cannot be resolved in the 1200 DPI image and are questionably resolvable in test element 2-3. Be aware that the definition of "resolve" is not standardized. Some want to see clearly distinguished lines, but others want only to recognize the direction of the lines. The use of a "pixel profile" helps standardize whether a target element can be resolved.

The article "Camera Users: Are You Afraid of the Dark?" in the May 1999 (pp. 183-189) *Photonics Spectra* describes using the USAF 1951 Test Target in various light levels.

